



Test #20200808-1: Does the thickness of 2008 make a difference in the size of the resulting webbing? How about 2008 Lumps?




Conclusion: Thickness doesn't seem to matter, but the lumps seem to make larger webs



Enamels used: all Th. Unleaded

New Tests to do:

- try doing this with less pull through on the 2nd firing
- try using the base enamel as the counter enamel to see if you get more movement

Layer	Firing Temp	What I did	Comments	Photo
1		2008 clear with lumps in the corners. Top ½ has light coat and bottom ½ has thicker coat.		
	1450°	Fire until lumps are fully fused flat.	Lumps are noticeable, even with same enamel; I like the color of the copper better!	

2		Beryl normal sifting and Nitric with a wispy sifting		
	1450°	Fired about 2 minutes	<p>Thickness at bottom with the lump is too thick and the enamel moved off of it. Maybe fired too long as I got a lot of pull-through – try another test with just changing less pull-through at this step.</p>	
3		Iris + Fox Glove.		Forgot to take pix
	1600°	Fired about 3 minutes	<p>Area over the lumps showed bigger cells. But piece was on a slant towards lower left so I should fire again and shift the slant</p>	

	1600°	About 2 minutes	Enamel shifted to top and somewhat evened out. Try again	
	1600°	About 2 min	Enamel evened out but webs in upper right got lost.	
	1450°	Added test# on the back		Same as last firing